GIGA Research Programme: Legitimacy and Efficiency of Political Systems

Bolivia's New Constitution:

Towards Participatory Democracy and Political Pluralism?

Almut Schilling-Vacaflor

No 141 July 2010

GIGA Working Papers

Edited by the GIGA German Institute of Global and Area Studies Leibniz-Institut für Globale und Regionale Studien

The GIGA Working Papers series serves to disseminate the research results of work in progress prior to publication in order to encourage the exchange of ideas and academic debate. An objective of the series is to get the findings out quickly, even if the presentations are less than fully polished. Inclusion of a paper in the GIGA Working Papers series does not constitute publication and should not limit publication in any other venue. Copyright remains with the authors. When working papers are eventually accepted by or published in a journal or book, the correct citation reference and, if possible, the corresponding link will then be included on the GIGA Working Papers website at <www.giga-hamburg.de/workingpapers>.

GIGA research programme responsible for this issue: Legitimacy and Efficiency of Political Systems Editor of the GIGA Working Papers series: Bert Hoffmann <workingpapers@giga-hamburg.de> Copyright for this issue: © Almut Schilling-Vacaflor

English copy editor: Melissa Nelson

Editorial assistant and production: Silvia Bücke

All GIGA Working Papers are available online and free of charge on the website www.giga-hamburg.de/workingpapers.

For any requests please contact:

E-mail: workingpapers@giga-hamburg.de

Phone: ++49 (0)40 - 4 28 25 - 548

The GIGA German Institute of Global and Area Studies cannot be held responsible for errors or any consequences arising from the use of information contained in this Working Paper; the views and opinions expressed are solely those of the author or authors and do not necessarily reflect those of the Institute.

GIGA German Institute of Global and Area Studies Leibniz-Institut für Globale und Regionale Studien Neuer Jungfernstieg 21 20354 Hamburg Germany

E-mail: info@giga-hamburg.de Website: www.giga-hamburg.de

Bolivia's New Constitution: Towards Participatory Democracy and Political Pluralism?

Abstract

In Bolivia, rights to increased political participation and the recognition of indigenous political systems are interrelated. The new constitution of 2009, a prime example of the "new Andean constitutionalism," defines Bolivia as a representative, participatory and communitarian democracy. It incorporates enhanced mechanisms and institutions for participatory democracy. Moreover, new social rights have been anchored in the constitution and a plurinational state is supposed to be constructed. The article raises the question of whether the new constitution will change the relations between state and civil society considerably and whether a new democratic model is being established in Bolivia. I argue that there are many limiting factors when it comes to putting the emancipatory elements of the constitution into practice. These include the increased strength of the executive branch, the intent of the government to co-opt civil society organizations and to exclude dissident views, the resistance of the conservative opposition to loosing some of its privileges, the deep-rooted social inequality, the social conflicts and polarization, the resource dependence of the current economic model, and the authoritarian characteristics of indigenous self-governance structures. The article demonstrates that the new Bolivian constitution cannot create a new society but that the processes around the elaboration of a new basic law have contributed to considerable changes in the social, political and symbolic order.

Keywords: Bolivia, constitution, participatory democracy, indigenous peoples, social rights, political pluralism

Dr. Almut Schilling-Vacaflor,

a sociologist and anthropologist, is a research fellow at the GIGA Institute of Latin American Studies in Hamburg. Her book about the constitutional change process and indigenous peoples in Bolivia is currently at press (NOMOS publishers, Baden-Baden, Germany).

Contact: schilling@giga-hamburg.de

Website: http://staff.en.giga-hamburg.de/schilling

Zusammenfassung

Die neue Verfassung von Bolivien: Partizipative Demokratie und politischer Pluralismus?

In Bolivien sind die Rechte für die vermehrte Beteiligung der Bevölkerung an politischen Entscheidungen mit der verstärkten Anerkennung von indigenen politischen Systemen verbunden. Die neue Verfassung, ein Paradebeispiel des "neuen Anden-Konstitutionalismus", definiert Bolivien als repräsentative, partizipative und kommunitäre Demokratie. Zahlreiche neue Mechanismen und Institutionen einer partizipativen Demokratie waren im Grundgesetz von 2009 verankert worden. Mit der neuen Verfassung sollen Menschenrechte, darunter insbesondere soziale Rechte, gewährleistet und ein plurinationaler Staat geschaffen werden. Die Autorin untersucht, inwiefern die Rolle der Zivilgesellschaft im Staat durch die Verabschiedung einer neuen Verfassung verändert und welches Demokratiemodell im Rahmen der aktuellen Entwicklungen angestrebt wird. Richtet man den Blick auf die tatsächlichen Chancen, das neue Grundgesetz inklusive seiner emanzipatorischen Elemente anwenden zu können, treten maßgebliche einschränkende Faktoren deutlich hervor: Tendenzen der Machtkonzentration auf die Exekutive; Versuche der Regierungspartei, zivilgesellschaftliche Organisationen zu vereinnahmen und Dissidenten zu marginalisieren; der Widerstand der konservativen Opposition gegen den Verlust von Privilegien; Strukturen der sozialen Ungleichheit; soziale Konflikte und Polarisierung; die Ressourcenabhängigkeit des bolivianischen Entwicklungsmodells und autoritäre Charakteristika indigener Systeme der Selbstregierung. Die Hauptaussage des vorliegenden Artikels lautet, dass die neue Verfassung zwar per se keine neue Gesellschaft schaffen kann, jedoch die Abläufe rund um den verfassunggebenden Prozess einen Beitrag zur Veränderung der sozialen, politischen und symbolischen Ordnung Boliviens geleistet haben.

Bolivia's New Constitution: Towards Participatory Democracy and Political Pluralism?

Almut Schilling-Vacaflor

Article Outline

- 1 Introduction
- 2 A Difficult History: Participation and Democracy in Bolivia
- 3 The MAS Government and the Constituent Assembly
- 4 New Constitution: Participatory and Pluralist Democracy
- 5 Tensions between Legal Norms and Sociopolitical Realities
- 6 Conclusions

1 Introduction

The new constitutions in Latin America are part of the "fourth wave of constitutional change," which began in the 1990s (Van Cott 2000). 12 Over the last two decades, almost all Latin American states have adopted new constitutions or have significantly changed the existing texts. These new constitutions are more political than their predecessors and aim to have an integrative social function. Most of the recent constitutional changes have been demanded "from below," and the new constitutions can be seen as reactions to the multiple crises of Latin American states: the representation deficit of traditional political parties; the high social inequality connected with the failure of poverty reduction strategies; and social protests (see Wolff 2008; Van Cott 2000), in some cases culminating in forced presidential de-

An earlier version of this paper was presented at the ECPR Joint Sessions of Workshops 2010 in Münster, March 24, 2010. I would like to thank Dr. Barbara Hogenboom, Mag. Anna Kucia, Dr. Mariana Llanos, Dr. Bert Hoffmann, Miguel Buitrago, M.A., and Joice Biazoto, M.A. for their very useful comments as well as Melissa Nelson for her excellent language correction.

All waves of constitutional change occurred after profound crises, the first one in the period 1789–1799, the second one in the period 1914–1926 and the third one in the period 1945–1965 (Van Cott 2000: 11).

mission (see Llanos/Marsteintredet 2009). The new constitutions have thus been adopted with the aim of reducing the gap between civil society and state. Because of their common procedural and substantive characteristics, the new constitutions of Venezuela (1999), Ecuador (2008), and Bolivia (2009)³ have been designated as marking the beginning of a "new Andean Constitutionalism" (Noguera 2010) or of a "new Latin American Constitutionalism" (Noguera 2008; Martínez Dalmau 2008; Gargarella 2008)⁴. All of them were compiled by constituent assemblies and adopted in referendums. They can be classified as "multicultural constitutions" that strengthen the state's control of the economy and incorporate enhanced human rights, particularly social rights, and all of them give more importance to participatory democracy. New state powers and institutions have been incorporated—amongst them the Poder Ciudadano in Venezuela, the Función de Transparencia y Control Social in Ecuador (2008), and the "new spaces for participation and social control" in Bolivia—and new mechanisms of direct democracy, such as the citizen's legislative initiative, the recall referendum, and obligatory referendums for constitutional changes, have been inscribed.

Many authors highlight the positive characteristics and consequences of direct democracy. These include the improved transparency and accountability of political parties and state institutions, decision making based on broader civil society support, and increased knowledge about political issues on the part of citizens (see Sousa Santos 2005, 2009; Schmitter 2000). Nevertheless, there are other cases which point to the negative consequences of direct democracy; for example, when citizens are manipulated by a one-sided communications media or political discourse, or when certain actors instrumentalize direct democracy to gain power. Breuer (2008) argues that direct democracy in Latin America since the 1990s has largely been a top-down affair induced by executive-legislative conflict and that it has done little to foster accountability.

This article analyzes the changing democratic model in Bolivia, focusing on the relevant provisions of the new constitution, which aims to establish a "participative, representative and communitarian" democracy (Art. 11 of the Bolivian constitution), as well as on the challenges regarding its implementation. The increased participation and representation of civil society in Bolivian politics is connected with the inclusion of hitherto marginalized sectors of society as well as with the recognition of culturally diverse political views and systems. Thus, political participation and political pluralism are interconnected in the Bolivian case and are analyzed in equal measure. Empirically, this paper is based on field research and qualitative interviews conducted in Bolivia between October 2005 and April 2009, particularly with leaders of indigenous, peasant, and unionized labor organizations; politicians; and social scientists.

³ The constitution of Colombia (1991) already incorporated extended mechanisms of participatory democracy and social rights and can be seen as a forerunner for the new constitutionalism of Latin America.

⁴ I will use the term "new Andean Constitutionalism" as it describes more precisely a phenomenon which is taking place in some Andean countries and not in the entire Latin American region.

In the context of the recognition of (pluralist) participatory mechanisms, a central question is whether the necessary preconditions for participation exist (see Fraser 2003; Sunstein 2001: 223). In particular, the factual existence of social rights such as access to information, education, food, and health care is of primordial importance to one's ability to exercise civil and political rights. A common characteristic of Latin American states is that the "third wave of democratization" (Huntington 1991) did not succeed in reducing social inequality and that high levels of poverty thus remained (O'Donnell et al. 2004; Wolff 2008). As poverty and political underrepresentation are generally connected in multiple ways (see Fraser 1990; Bourdieu 2001), marginalized groups face the difficult task of introducing their visions and demands into state politics. A reflection of this interdependency in the Bolivian case is the fact that the majority indigenous population⁵ constituted a political minority until recently.

For my analysis of the sociopolitical significance of the new constitution and in order to assess the changing relationship between state and civil society in Bolivia, I refer to the concept of "strong publics" elaborated by Nancy Fraser (1990). This concept describes an alternative, post-bourgeois conception of the public sphere. Because of existing (more or less subtle) exclusionary mechanisms in society and politics, Fraser proposes that the aim should not be the establishment of one allegedly "universal" or "bourgeois" public sphere; rather, many competing public spheres should coexist. Those "competing counterpublics" comprise alternative styles of political behavior as well as alternative norms of public speech (Fraser 1990: 61). For Fraser, the existence of "strong publics" entails (1) social equality as a necessary condition for political democracy, (2) multiple public spheres, (3) the possibility of decision making and not just opinion forming on the part of civil society, and thus (4) the lack of a sharp distinction between state and civil society.

2 A Difficult History: Participation and Democracy in Bolivia

Prior to the national revolution in 1952, a great majority of Bolivia's population was excluded from political participation due to discriminatory legislation that conceded the right to elect and to be elected only to literate and salaried men. According to García Linera (2005: 15), from 1880 until 1951 only approximately 2 to 3 percent of Bolivia's population had the factual right to vote. As in other Latin American states and in many countries worldwide, the model of explicitly unequal treatment of citizens according to certain characteristics was abandoned in Bolivia in the 1960s, when a homogenizing state model under which every citizen was to be treated equally was implemented (Kuppe 2002; Albó/Barrios 2006). Human rights such as the right to vote and the right to basic education were extended (at least theoretically) to every citizen, and enhanced social welfare policies were implemented. Nevertheless, cultural

⁵ Sixty-two percent of the Bolivian population identified themselves as indigenous in the national census of 2001 (see www.cne.org.bo).

diversity was not recognized and the wide gap between state and civil society as well as high levels of social inequality persisted.

Bolivia's unionized campesino organizations supported the national revolution of 1952 and subsequently established alliances with political parties as well as with the later military dictatorships (Assies/Salman 2005). However, the subordination and co-option of the campesino representatives and their demands was a common occurrence; this led to the disruption of the alliances and to the creation of new autonomous movements and organizations such as the Kataristas in the 1960s and the Confederación Sindical Unica de Trabajadores del Campo de Bolivia (CSUTCB) in 1979. These highland organizations demanded an end to the economic discrimination they experienced as campesinos and to the cultural discrimination they were subjected to as members of indigenous communities (Albó/Barrios 2006: 121; Rivera Cusicanqui 2003)—or, in Fraser's words (2003), "redistribution and recognition." Other unionized peasant organizations, the Cocaleros (Coca Growers) and the Colonizadores (Colonizers), originated in the Bolivian valleys as a consequence of internal migration movements connected with the implementation of neoliberal policies that resulted in the closure of mines and other state enterprises. 6 Indigenous organizations also expanded in the lowlands under the umbrella of the Confederación Indígena Del Oriente Boliviano (CIDOB), and in 1997 the "traditional" Ayllu organizations of the highlands created the supraregional organization Consejo Nacional de Ayllus y Markas del Qullasuyu (CONAMAQ) (see García/Chávez/Costas 2004: 210). Thus, one should bear in mind that indigenous-campesino movements in Bolivia should not be conceived of as a monolithic bloc as "very different concepts of ethniccultural consciousness and political projects still coexist" (Stroebele-Gregor 1994: 5).

As of the 1990s indigenous-campesino organizations became the driving forces in challenging the existing social order and demanding profound state transformation (see Tapia 2007: 107). Their increasing importance was also due to favorable developments at the international and national level. These included the enhanced recognition of indigenous peoples' rights in international human rights instruments (particularly the ILO Convention 169 and the UN Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples); support for indigenous rights on the part of international organizations such as the World Bank, the UN and a large number of NGOs; and the "500-year jubilee" of Latin American colonization in 1992, which channeled international attention to the situation of the formerly colonized populations (see Sieder 2002). At the state level, Bolivia was recognized as "multicultural and polyethnic" as a result of a constitutional amendment in 1994, and many rights of indigenous peoples were recognized by laws and decrees (see Barié 2003). Nevertheless, the existing and largely West-

The Colonizadores organization was until recently known as CSCB (Confederación Sindical de Colonizadores de Bolivia; Confederation of Colonizer Unions of Bolivia). As the term "colonizers" has many negative associations in the Bolivian context, the name has now been changed to Confederación Sindical de Comunidades Interculturales de Bolivia (CSCIB; Confederation of Intercultural Communities of Bolivia) (see www.cscbbol.org).

ern monocultural state model remained unquestioned (see Tapia 2007). Despite the growing legal recognition of cultural diversity, the indigenous and *campesino* communities, particularly the women among them, were not able to considerably improve their living conditions. Due to policies that can be characterized as "neoliberal multiculturalism" (Hale 2005; Assies/Salman 2005: 272), recognition was not connected with the redistribution of wealth and social resources.

The political participation of the indigenous-*campesino* sectors of society at the municipal level was strengthened from 1994 on due to Lozada's decentralization policies and the Law of Popular Participation (see Laserna 2009; Van Cott 2000). Moreover, relevant electoral reforms were carried out: in 1995 programs to provide adults with identification documents in order to obtain the factual right to vote were implemented;⁷ in 1996 single-member districts were introduced to elect half of the members of the Chamber of Deputies; and in 1999 the Law of Political Parties made it possible for civil associations and indigenous peoples to nominate their own candidates (see Arnold 2004; Laserna 2009). These reforms—despite all their shortcomings—contributed to improving the decision-making role of civil society at different state levels and to increasing the representativeness of Bolivia's political system.

To conclude, we can recapitulate that some reforms—those made in the context of the national revolution, the decentralization programs, the recognition of cultural diversity, and the electoral reforms undertaken as of the 1990s—improved the representativeness of state institutions and the degree of participation therein. The heterogeneous indigenous-*campesino* organizations took on a growing role in state politics, something which contributed to the creation of multiple public spheres. Nevertheless, great levels of social inequality and cultural discrimination persisted and the underprivileged remained underrepresented in state politics.

3 The MAS Government and the Constituent Assembly

In 1995 an alliance of unionized peasant organizations (CSUTCB, Cocaleros and Colonizadores) founded the MAS party (at that time under the name ASP), which was very successful in that year's municipal elections. In the presidential and legislative elections of 1997, parties which emphasized their indigenous identity campaigned for the first time at the national level, and since then it has been possible to observe an increasing number of indigenous *campesino* representatives in state politics. In 2005 Evo Morales was elected president with 54 percent of the vote. In order to explain this electoral victory, it is important to recall the great (and rather successful) social protests of 2000 ("water war") and 2003 ("gas war"), as well as the MAS party's ability to form alliances with different sectors of society, such as indigenous

⁷ Even in the 1997 elections, 81.2 percent of lowland indigenous citizens did not have an identity card and were thus excluded from exercising their right to vote (Van Cott 2005: 76).

lowland and highland organizations, urban intellectuals and workers, trade unions, and leftist groups (see Van Cott 2005). Additional explanatory factors included the civil society's lack of trust in traditional political parties and the pressing demands of the poor, who counted on Morales to give priority to their needs and to improve their living conditions instantly.

During its first period in office (2006–2010), MAS mostly carried out a "revolution with the ballot," but in very delicate and conflictive situations it frequently resorted to the mobilization of its basis organizations in order to press for common aims. As the MAS party did not hold an absolute majority in the Chamber of Senators, important political changes were made by presidential decrees and through negotiations with the opposition in Congress and in the Constituent Assembly. There was a high degree of polarization between government and oppositional forces in Bolivia, and the conflicts between them escalated in several instances, leading to violent clashes. In September 2008 oppositional groups from the lowland departments even occupied state institutions and destroyed the offices of indigenous and nongovernmental organizations. In Pando, 18 government supporters were killed (UNASUR 2008). Because the Constitutional Court and the Supreme Court were disabled during long periods, the opposition forces' and the governing party's scope of sanction-free action was expanded.

The Constituent Assembly was convened some months after Morales assumed the presidency. The demand for constitutional change with the broad participation of those who had hitherto been excluded9 had first been expressed publicly by the indigenous lowland organizations in their protest march of 2002. Afterwards it had been adopted by the highland indigenous-campesino organizations. Hence, during the "gas war" the main demands (the "Agenda of October") had been the nationalization of hydrocarbon resources and the drafting of a new constitution. The indigenous-campesino organizations had originally demanded that civil society organizations and not political parties should develop the new constitution (see Articulo Primero 2005). This demand was due to the poor reputation of political parties and the idea that the "pure" and sovereign participation of civil society should not be "contaminated" or co-opted by party politics. There had also been an agreement between MAS and the indigenous organizations which stipulated that indigenous representatives would be granted 16 reserved seats in the Constituent Assembly. This agreement was broken by MAS when it passed the law calling the elections for the Constituent Assembly; its strategic aim in doing so was to concentrate the votes for its own party. It was thus practically impossible for indigenous-campesino groups to nominate candidates for the assembly without allying with a political party (particularly the MAS party). The Constituent Assembly offered MAS the pos-

According to Van Cott (2005: 213), political parties that emphasize their indigenous identity don't substitute for social movements and indigenous organizations; however, the parliamentary channel is complementary to mobilization.

⁹ In contrast to the last constitutional reforms, which were carried out by a small number of experts and politicians in a rather nontransparent way (see Van Cott 2000 on the constitutional reform of 1994).

sibility to carry out profound state reforms that would not have been possible in the opposition-dominated Congress. The governing party managed to obtain a large number of assembly seats: 137 of 255 delegates were affiliated with MAS. Many of the MAS delegates (approximately 60, interview with General Secretary Isaac Ávalos 2007) stemmed from its founder organizations CSUTCB, Cocaleros and Colonizadores. The indigenous lowland organizations and CONAMAQ had far fewer representatives in the assembly (four and eight delegates respectively), largely due to their more distant relations with the government. Consequently, they were not able to introduce their demands to the same extent as the organizations closer to MAS and frequently expressed their discontent with the constitutional reform process (interviews with Nélida Faldin 2007 and Samuel Flores 2007).

During the Constituent Assembly sessions there was considerable tension and conflict between the MAS party and unionized peasant organizations on the one side and the indigenous lowland and Ayllu organizations on the other. The reasons for these tensions were the divergent aims and strategies of these groups for the transformation of the Bolivian state: while the priority of the indigenous lowland and Ayllu organizations was to become strong self-governed entities (with respect to land rights and rights to natural resources) and secure direct representation in state institutions, the MAS party and the peasant organizations aspired to the construction of a new state hegemony and the strengthening of the national level. Despite frequent conflicts, the indigenous-campesino organizations allied to form a "Unity Pact" and were able to develop common documents with political-juridical demands for the new constitution which were then used as the basis of the MAS party's proposals. In addition to the criticism regarding the exclusionary and homogenizing tendencies of the MAS party, a widespread critique was that the political parties tried to influence and control the Constituent Assembly in order to achieve their own aims. In the end the subordination of constituent power to the constituted powers became very clear as more than 100 articles of the assembly's draft constitution were changed by Congress delegates.

4 New Constitution: Participatory and Pluralist Democracy

The constitution of Bolivia can be designated a "transformative Constitution" (see Sunstein 2001: 224) as it strives to change the existing political, economic, social, symbolic, and legal order. In the first article, it recognizes Bolivia as a plurinational state with "political pluralism." Article 7 establishes that the state's sovereignty is based on the Bolivian populace and is exercised in both a direct and a delegated way. New mechanisms and institutions of participatory democracy have been incorporated into the new constitution. Moreover, enhanced human rights and mechanisms to guarantee their effective implementation have been inscribed.

Participatory Democracy

Bolivia's type of democracy is elucidated in Art. 11 and characterized as "participatory, representative and communitarian." The representative form of democracy is exercised through universal, direct, and secret elections. The mechanisms of direct and participatory democracy under the new Bolivian constitution are the referendum, the legislative initiative of citizens, the recall referendum for public servants, the assembly, the city council and the previous consultation. Moreover, the new constitution establishes that members of the judicial branch, after preselection by the legislative branch, will be elected by the Bolivian populace (Art. 182, 188, 194, 198). Articles 240 and 241 stipulate that the Bolivian population, represented by the "organized civil society," is to participate in the design of public politics and to execute social control at every state level. A Law of Social Control shall be passed, and new spaces for participation and social control shall by created by state entities. The participatory rights and the codetermination of "organized civil society" are also mentioned with regard to the health system, the educational system, the (plural) economic system, environmental protection, and other issues (Art. 40, 78–93, 309, 343).

Communitarian Democracy

The recognition of "communitarian democracy" is an innovation of the new constitution and is supposed to be exercised in self-governed indigenous-campesino entities such as municipalities and indigenous-campesino territories (TIOC). The election or designation of indigenous-campesino representatives in those entities should take place according to the communities' own norms and procedures. Indigenous peoples' and communities' right to self-determination has been incorporated into the new constitution and, like the provisions in international instruments, comprises two dimensions: the right to self-government executed within the framework of indigenous autonomy, including the exercise of indigenous political, juridical, and economic systems, and the right to fully participate in state institutions and in the dominant society (Art. 30).

The new Bolivian constitution foresees the creation of indigenous-*campesino* autonomies (Art. 289–296, 304). The rights of indigenous peoples anchored in the constitution have been extended to *campesino* communities as well as to the Afro-Bolivians (Art. 32). With regard to the representation of indigenous-*campesino* peoples and communities in the legislative branch, Art. 147 stipulates "that the proportional participation of indigenous-*campesino* peoples and communities will be guaranteed" and that quotas stipulating a certain number of indigenous representatives will be implemented.¹¹ Article 210 establishes that the organi-

¹⁰ The recall referendum (for every state functionary except those in the judicial branch; Art. 240), the city council, and the previous consultation were not part of the former constitutional text.

Transitional Electoral Law No. 4021 incorporates the election of seven indigenous representatives, a much smaller number than the indigenous lowland and Ayllu organizations originally demanded (CIDOB 2001; CONAMAQ 2006).

zation and functioning of indigenous-*campesino* organizations, as well as of citizen associations and political parties, must be democratic and that the electoral organ will supervise the election of indigenous-*campesino* authorities and representatives (Art. 211). In this context, the crucial question is which criteria will be applied to define whether the "communitarian democracy" is democratic enough. Concepts such as "communitarian democracy" and "*vivir bien*" have also been developed by indigenous-*campesino* organizations and their allies to countervail Western concepts of democracy and of a "good life." Thus, they are seen by these actors as part of an ongoing decolonization and emancipation process.

Human Rights and the "Good Life"

In comparison to the former constitution, Bolivia's new constitution supports enhanced human rights, particularly economic, social, and cultural rights and the rights of underprivileged groups such as women, children, persons with disabilities, and elderly persons. For example, women's right to equal political participation (Art. 8, 11, 26, 147 and 210)¹³ and other women's human rights are now included. New mechanisms have been introduced to complement the already existing legal procedures¹⁴ for guaranteeing the implementation of human rights: the "Action of Unconstitutionality," meant to work against unconstitutional legal norms (Art. 132-133); the "Action of Compliance," to penalize public servants who don't fulfill constitutional provisions (Art. 134); and the "Popular Action," intended to address the violation of collective rights and interests (Art. 135-136). The new constitution proclaims that its primary aim is to achieve the "good life" (*vivir bien*). This concept incorporates elements of indigenous philosophies, but as it remains very vague, it is apt to be used in a strategic way depending on the context.

5 Tensions between Legal Norms and Sociopolitical Realities

Constitutions are powerful instruments that shape political and social orders, partly due to their formalization and homologation effects: "By ordaining the patterns that govern behaviour in practice, prior to any legal discourse, through the objectivity of a written rule or of an explicitly expressed regulation, formalization establishes the operation of what might be a

Without dealing with the differences between the (heterogeneous and dynamic) political systems of indigenous-campesino communities and Western-liberal models of democracy in detail, it is worth considering that political decisions in indigenous-campesino communities in the Bolivian Andes and valleys are taken in community assemblies. The aim is to reach a consensus, positions are filled on a rotating basis, and in many cases a position is assumed by a couple (a practice called *Chacha-Warmi* or *Qhari-Warmi*) (see Albó 2002).

¹³ But without a guaranteed quota for female representatives, something which was demanded by several women's organizations during the constitutional change process.

¹⁴ The "Action of Liberty" (Habeas corpus, Art. 125–127), "Constitutional Complaint" for cases of human rights violations (Art. 128–129); and "Action of Privacy Protection" (Habeas data, Art. 130–131).

homologation effect" (Bourdieu 1987: 840-849). According to Beau Breslin (2009: 4), constitutions are "documents that use words to create worlds." Nevertheless, the implementation of constitutions relies on many context-specific factors, such as political will, power relations, social acceptance of legal norms, and the legitimacy of the constitutional change process (Ginsberg et al. 2009; Van Cott 2000; Habermas 1992). As examples like Colombia show, a new constitution that foresees important reforms will not necessarily have the desired impact, for example, when the particular sociopolitical context is obstructive to social change. Moreover, mechanisms of participatory democracy are not positive per se, but should be assessed according to their meaning in a specific context. In the coming months in Bolivia, several laws are supposed to be passed in order to institutionalize and implement those provisions of the new constitution regarding a participatory and pluralist democracy. The constitution and the new laws are to be transformed from legal norms into legal realities, but there are many challenges on the way. I argue that the question of whether current developments in Bolivia are contributing to the establishment of a participatory and pluralist democracy needs to be examined in a nuanced manner.

The MAS Party and Civil Society Organizations

The MAS party most certainly has strong connections with civil society organizations, and in its first term it frequently mobilized this support base in order to press for political-juridical reforms. It used to present itself as the "government of the social movements" and of the indigenous-campesino sectors of society, as if these groups made up a homogeneous block of society with MAS at the top. This self-representation obscured the fact that it has much closer relations to some social organizations than to others. Using Fraser's (1990: 67) words, we could say that the MAS "masks domination by absorbing the less powerful into a false 'we' that reflects the more powerful." Hence, the inclusion of hitherto underrepresented sectors of society has also produced new exclusions, as not all of these sectors have been represented equally. Examples such as the Constituent Assembly, described in Section 2 of this article, the adoption of the new electoral law in 2009, and other current developments show that MAS favors political forces close to it while marginalizing the representation and demands of other sectors of society (Zegada 2008: 14; Tapia 2006). Van Cott (2008: 208) describes similar dynamics in the monopolization of power and the exclusion of dissidents in MAS-dominated municipalities. For these reasons, indigenous lowland organizations, Ayllu organizations, and feminist groups have published several resolutions and statements that have expressed their discontent with MAS's exclusionary activities (CIDOB/CONAMAQ 2007; CONAMAQ 2008 and 2009; CIDOB 2010; Mujeres Creando 2007). In this context, the question of who will represent "organized civil society" for political participation when it comes to putting the new constitution into practice will be of crucial importance.

During the MAS government's first term one could observe that when conflicts escalated many different social forces supported the governing party in order to fight against the conservative opposition; after the conflicts had subsided, however, some of these groups again became rather distant from and critical of MAS. MAS has tended to co-opt civil society organizations, and in many cases the positions and activities of MAS-related organizations are directed "from above." Representatives from diverse social organizations and movements are forced to make agreements with MAS in order to increase their chances of achieving their political-juridical objectives (see Escarzága 2009: 90). The fact that many leading representatives of indigenous-*campesino* organizations are part of the government further contributes to this co-option. In this context, Fraser's (1990) assumption that there should not be a wide gap between civil society and the state can be questioned, as closeness between the two spheres could also point to the co-option of the former—thus, some kind of autonomy for civil society might be necessary.

One of the MAS party's frequent challenges is to balance the need to mediate between different sectors of society (as well as to negotiate with international actors) and take divergent interests into account and the need to retain as much power as possible. If we adopt Fraser's perspective, we can argue that civil society participation in Bolivia has been strengthened under Morales since representatives of hitherto marginalized groups now play an important role in Bolivian politics. Nevertheless, certain sectors of society have been included to a far greater extent than others. Moreover, the decision-making power of society is being limited by the homogenizing tendencies of the current government.

Political parties and social movements operate according to different logics (see Bourdieu 2001). These divergences can be observed in the case of the representatives of social organizations that have assumed posts inside of MAS; they are then in the difficult position of needing to simultaneously be loyal to their support base and to the party they represent. Indigenous-campesino organizations generally expect their representatives to first of all press for their particular interests; this contributes to clientelistic and corporativist structures and is accompanied by the risk that the representatives will be designated "betrayers" if the demands of the support base are not given highest priority. In this context the newly introduced recall referendum, which was introduced into the constitution in order to increase the accountability of political actors, is apt to place additional pressure on the representatives of civil society organizations and to reinforce clientelism. The expectation from social organizations that their former members should primarily represent their particular interests on the one hand and the fact that members of the governing party should not privilege certain groups excessively on the other often create delicate situations for representatives of civil society organizations who assume political positions.

¹⁵ For example, the former general secretary of CSUTCB, Isaac Avalos, and the general secretary of the CSCIB, Fidel Surco, assumed positions as senators in 2010.

Participatory Democracy and the Concentration of Power

The MAS party's strategy of concentrating power and thereby excluding pluralism was quite obvious during the constitutional change process. The electoral designs favored by MAS in its first term (and those anchored in the new constitution) tended to produce bipartisan rather than pluralist political compositions. The new constitutional text strengthened the presidential democratic system, governmental representatives tried to influence the Constituent Assembly, and polarizing discourses villainizing the "enemies of the change process" were frequent. The governing party (like the oppositional forces) in many cases overrode legal regulations and marginalized minority views (see Asbún Rojas, 2008).

In a recent article, Bolivia's vice president García Linera quotes Robespierre's description of a "revolutionary government" in order to describe his own government:

The objective of a constitutional government is to conserve the Republic; the objective of a revolutionary government is to found it [...] The revolutionary government needs extraordinary activities, precisely because it is in a situation of war. Therefore it is subject to less uniform and less rigorous rules [...] The constitutional government is principally occupied with civil liberty; the revolutionary government with public liberty. In situations of a constitutional regime it is practically sufficient to protect the individuals from the abuse of public power; under a revolutionary regime the public power must defend itself from all of the social forces by whom it is attacked. (Robespierre as cited in García Linera, 2010; translated by the author)

The quotation's main argument as applied in the Bolivian context is that the goal of profound change and state transformation (as well as that of securing governmental power) legitimizes procedural irregularities or authoritarian postures. From the perspective of the Bolivian government, a certain degree of authoritarianism may be necessary in order to secure governability and carry out profound transformations against the will of a powerful opposition, as well as in the face of limiting factors connected with Bolivia's existence as a peripheral state. From the perspective of indigenous-*campesino* organizations, the flouting of certain democratic and legal rules can also be justified with the argument that democracy until recently represented an exclusive and discriminatory pact of the ruling elite and that the rule of law many times primarily served the interests of the rich (see Gray Molina, 2007). Many members of indigenous-*campesino* sectors of society may now be unwilling to fully respect governance models which in the past served to repress their aims of emancipation, and which were perceived as externally imposed (neo)colonial or imperialist power structures.

Roberto Laserna (2009: 141) states that under the MAS government too much emphasis on participation has caused institutional erosion and a lack of checks and balances. According to my point of view, this critique is accurate, but maybe the debilitation of the existing order and the corresponding institutions was a necessary precondition to constructing a new social and institutional order. Thus, the creation of new checks and balances will depend on

the construction of a new institutional and social order. A crucial question is whether this new order will be characterized by authoritarian and centralized structures under MAS or by openness to divergent (internal and external) views and the existence of new checks and balances.

During MAS's first term the political participation of civil society in state politics was certainly much greater than under former governments, but in some cases this participation was used primarily to override the resistance of the conservative opposition. García Linera (2010) distinguishes between different periods of state crisis since 2000. According to his analysis, in 2008 the "moment of bifurcation" took place, leading to the current phase: the consolidation of a new political and symbolic state order. The question which thus arises is whether a relatively high level of political participation is only of particular importance during crisis periods and whether it declines afterwards. Furthermore, now that the MAS party has a two-thirds majority in the legislative branch and Evo Morales has assigned important functionaries to the judicial branch (which could be seen as the politicization of the judicial branch), participatory mechanisms could lose importance.¹⁶

Political Pluralism

The official implementation of self-government for indigenous peoples and communities and the creation of plurinational and intercultural institutions according to the new constitution will be important tasks in Morales' second term. It is probable that at the local and regional levels contests over political and economic power between different social forces will take place and will shape state politics and the correlation of power. One unresolved question regarding the implementation of self-governed and autonomous entities is how to ensure that the collective right to self-determination will not restrict internal participation. To what extent will the self-governed spaces reflect different and heterogeneous views, for example, those of minorities and of women? Abuses and repressive mechanisms in the name of selfgovernance should be prevented, but at the same time the regulations should not be imposed externally "from above." This topic is exceptionally relevant as indigenous-campesino communities are frequently criticized for authoritarian, sexist, and homogenizing tendencies. Stroebele-Gregor (2008) states that the political systems of indigenous-campesino communities in Bolivia that strive to reach a consensus usually exclude divergent views; in the event that opposing views are expressed, separatist processes or violent conflicts can occur. In addition to those of the indigenous-campesino autonomies and communities, democratic structures at the departmental level should also be strengthened, something which will be especially challenging in those departments where conservative elites with extensive economic resources retain power and are not willing to give up their privileged positions.

¹⁶ For example, the MAS government program from 2010 to 2015 (MAS-IPSP 2009) does not highlight political participation as a primary aim.

The creation of intercultural state institutions is another challenging task related to the implementation of the new constitution. Despite the fact that the provisions of the new constitution (and of the electoral law) foresee the increased participation of members of indigenous *campesino* communities and women in state institutions (something which is already taking place), the concrete design of those institutions is still not fixed. One obstacle to creating intercultural and inclusive institutions is that the participation of members of different cultures or those from underprivileged sectors of society in the institutions created by dominant sectors of society alone does not necessarily make these structures egalitarian. Or, in the words of Fraser (1990: 64): "Subordinated groups sometimes cannot find the right voice or words to express their thoughts, and when they do, they discover they are not heard [...] They alert us to the ways in which social inequalities can infect deliberation, even in the absence of any formal exclusions." At the institutional level subtle mechanisms of exclusion and discrimination will still persist and should be debated and confronted explicitly (Fraser, 1990: 64).

Social Rights and Social Inequality

The new constitution and the current government declare the "good life" of all citizens to be their primary aim. Even though there may be a great distance between the promising and utopian character of the new constitution on the one hand and social reality (see Nolte 2009) on the other, the extended recognition of human rights is of importance. This restricts governments' room to maneuver, can aid in criticizing deficient implementation processes, and establishes long-term goals. Accordingly, Bolivia's new constitution could turn out to be the "law of the weaker" and reduce social inequality and poverty. The nationalization of the country's hydrocarbon resources under Morales has increased the state budget significantly and strengthened the state's role in the economy. At the same time, new social welfare policies have been implemented: the raising of the minimum wage, the creation of several aid funds (for children, women, and elderly people), the execution of literacy programs, and increased support for Bolivia's health and education systems. Still, the economic impact of the reforms undertaken since 1994 and of the social welfare policies implemented under Morales has been far more modest than their political impact (see Laserna 2009: 134).

The challenge in the MAS government's current term will be to rapidly and significantly improve the living conditions of the poor (see MAS-IPSP 2009). However, it appears that the government's actions will not affect the rich considerably: last year's experiences, particularly with respect to the intended redistribution of land, demonstrated that the scope of action for redistribution processes that do not risk generating political instability is quite narrow. The priority of the governmental plan for 2010–2015 is to obtain great benefits from natural resource exploitation in order to finance ambitious social welfare policies. In the context of MAS's resource-dependent economic policy we can observe strong tensions—for example, between resource exploitation and environmental protection (see Gudynas 2009) as well as between long-term economic strategies like the diversification of economy and the demands of the poor to improve their living conditions rapidly.

6 Conclusions

In the Andes we can observe the beginning of a specific "new constitutionalism" which involves many innovative elements. The new constitutions of Ecuador, Venezuela, and Bolivia represent the intent to overcome the deficiencies of the previous democratic models, which were characterized by a wide gap between state and civil society, high levels of social inequality, and deficient recognition of cultural diversity. Similarly to the new constitutions of Ecuador and Venezuela, the new Bolivian constitution strengthens the mechanisms of participatory democracy, recognizes enhanced social rights, and aims to establish a plurinational and intercultural state. One of its primary objectives is to redefine relations between the state and an ethnically pluralist civil society, giving civil society a greater say in state politics. Thus, Bolivia's basic law can be characterized as a transformative and emancipatory constitution. Nevertheless, the actual significance of a legal norm can only be assessed in its concrete sociopolitical context.

In Bolivia the constitutional change process has served to bring about profound transformations, which would not have been possible using ordinary political channels, against the will of the powerful elite. The MAS party used the development of the new constitution to consolidate its hegemony. The influence of the political parties on the Constituent Assembly was great, and a majority of the assembly deputies belonged to the MAS party. This fact partly explains the apparently paradoxical outcome that the new constitution strengthens participatory democracy on the one hand and the executive branch on the other. There has been a tendency on the part of the MAS party and its affiliates at the national and subnational levels to exclude divergent views and to co-opt diverse social forces. The article's findings suggest that there are tensions between the MAS party's goal of concentrating power and the strengthening of a new pluralist and participatory model of democracy in Bolivia. Thus, the decision-making role of civil society and the construction of multiple public spheres are restrained by the aspirations of the government as well as by the conservative opposition, which strives to maintain its own privileges.

The recognition of indigenous-*campesino* self-governing entities and their participation in state politics has the potential to contribute to the building of multiple public spheres. However, many indigenous-*campesino* systems have authoritarian and sexist characteristics that should not be overlooked. The creation of intercultural institutions is another challenging task for the future that remains largely unresolved. Many social programs have been implemented, but the degree to which they will bring about long-term structural change and whether they are compatible with environmental sustainability is unclear. A difficulty in this context is the immense social pressure to obtain fast, visible results and the MAS party's opportunity to obtain voter support through assistentialist, short-term policies on the one hand and environmental criteria and long-term policies, such as economic diversification, on the other.

We have been able to observe many considerable improvements regarding the participation of civil society in state politics in Bolivia, but there are still many obstacles to the development of an increasingly participatory and pluralist democracy. Despite the ratification of a new constitution which contains many emancipatory elements, the findings of this article demonstrate that diverse social and political factors may restrain its impact in practice. Similarly to the experiences of the other countries of the "new Andean constitutionalism," the Bolivian example shows that a new legal norm cannot create a new society; however, it can help to transform it.

Bibliography

- Albó, Xavier (2002), Pueblos indios en la política, La Paz: Plural/CIPCA.
- Albó, Xavier, and Franz Barrios Suvelza (2006), Por una Bolivia plurinacional e intercultural con autonomías, La Paz: IDH Bolivia.
- Aparicio, Marco Wilhelmi (2008), Derechos: enunciación y principios de aplicación, in: R. Ávila, A. Grijalva, and R. Martínez (eds.), *Desafíos constitucionales. La constitución ecuatoriana del 2008 en perspectiva*, Quito: Ministerio de Justicia y Derechos Humanos y Tribunal Constitucional, 19-39.
- Arnold, Denise (2004), *Pueblos indígenas y originarios de Bolivia*. *Hacia su soberanía y legitimidad electoral*, Bolivia: Corte Nacional Electoral.
- Artículo Primero (2005), Asamblea constituyente. Otra Bolivia es posible, Santa Cruz: CEJIS.
- Asbún Rojas, José Antonio (2008), Una democracia plena requiere de una participación plena, in: IDEA (ed.), Comentarios a la propuesta constitucional aprobada por la Asamblea Constituyente boliviana, La Paz: Plural, 167-172.
- Assies, Willem, and Ton Salman (2005), Ethnicity and Politics in Bolivia, in: *Ethnopolitics*, 4, 3, 269-297.
- Barié, Cletus Gregor (2003), Pueblos indígenas y derechos constitucionales en América Latina: un panorama, Bolivia: Editorial Abya-Yala.
- Bourdieu, Pierre (1987), The Force of Law: Toward a Sociology of the Juridical Field, in: *The Hastings Law Journal*, 38, 805-853.
- Bourdieu, Pierre (2001), El campo político, La Paz: Plural.
- Breslin, Beau (2009), From Words to Worlds. Exploring Constitutional Functionality, Baltimore: The John Hopkins University Press.
- Breuer, Anita (2008), The Problematic Relation Between Direct Democracy and Accountability in Latin America: Evidence from the Bolivian Case, in: *Bulletin of Latin American Research*, 27, 1, 1-23.
- CIDOB (2001), Propuesta sobre las reformas a la constitución política del estado, Santa Cruz: Unpublished Statement.
- CIDOB (2010), Resolusion de la II comisión nacional. Riberalta, at: http://www.cidob-bo.org (7 March 2010).
- CIDOB/CONAMAQ (July 2007), Informe-Recomendaciones, Sucre: Unpublished Statement.
- CONAMAQ (2006), Constitución Política del Estado Plurinacional Qullasuyu, Bolivia: Unpublished Statement.
- CONAMAQ (2008), A la opinión pública nacional e internacional. El CONAMAQ ante la coyuntura actual, Cochabamba: Unpublished Statement:.
- CONAMAQ (2009), Resolution, at: http://www.conamaq.org.bo (7 March 2010).

- Escárzaga, Fabiola (2009), Die Regierung der sozialen Bewegungen, in: Ernst, Tanja, and Stefan Schmalz (eds.), *Die Neugründung Boliviens? Die Regierung Morales*, Baden-Baden: Nomos.
- Fraser, Nancy (1990), Rethinking the Public Sphere. A Contribution to the Critique of Actually Existing Democracy, in: *Social Text*, 25/26, 56-80.
- Fraser, Nancy (2003), Soziale Gerechtigkeit im Zeitalter der Identitätspolitik, in: Fraser, Nancy, and Axel Honneth (eds.), *Umverteilung oder Anerkennung*, Frankfurt am Main: Suhrkamp, 13-128.
- García Linera, Alvaro, Marxa Chávez León, and Patricia Costas Monje (eds.) (2004), Sociología de los movimientos sociales en Bolivia. Estructuras de movilización, repertorios culturales y acción política, La Paz: Plural.
- García Linera, Álvaro (2005), Estado multinacional. Una propuesta democrática para la extinción de la exclusión de las naciones indias, Editorial Malatesta: La Paz.
- García Linera, Álvaro (2010), El estado en transición. Bloque de poder y punto de bifurcación, in: García Linera, Álvaro et al., *El Estado. Campo de Lucha*, La Paz: Muela del Diablo, 9-43.
- Gargarella, Roberto (2008), Cambiar la letra, cambiar el mundo, in: Ecuador Debate, 75, 93-96.
- Ginsberg, Tom, Zachary Elkins, and Justin Blount (2009), Does the Process of Constitution-Making Matter?, in: *Annual Review of Law and Social Science*, 5, 201-223.
- Gray Molina, Jorge (ed.) (2007), El estado de la opinión. Informe sobre desarrollo humano en Bolivia, La Paz: PNUD Bolivia.
- Gudynas, Eduardo (2009), Politische Ökologie: Natur in den Verfassungen von Bolivien und Ecuador, in: *Juridikum Zeitschrift für Kritik/Recht/Gesellschaft*, 4, 214-218.
- Habermas, Jürgen (1992), Faktizität und Geltung: Beiträge zur Diskurstheorie des Rechts und des demokratischen Rechtsstaats, Frankfurt am Main: Suhrkamp.
- Hale, Charles (2005), Neoliberal Multiculturalism: The Remaking of Cultural Rights and Racial Dominance in Central America, in: *Political and Legal Anthropology Review*, 28, 1, 10-28.
- Huntington, Samuel (1991), Democracy's Third Wave, in: Journal of Democracy, 2, 2, 12-34.
- Kuppe, René (2002), Indianische Rechte und Partizipation im Rahmen der Verwirklichung eines plurikulturellen und multiethnischen Staates, in: *Indiana Zeitschrift des Ibero-amerikanischen Instituts*, 17/18, 105-133.
- Laserna, Roberto (2009), Decentralization, Local Initiatives, and Citizenship in Bolivia, 1994-2004, in: Selee, Andrew, and Enrique Peruzzotti (eds.), *Participatory Innovation and Representative Democracy in Latin America*, Washington: Woodrow Wilson Center Press.
- Llanos, Mariana, and Leiv Marsteintredet (2009), *Presidential Breakdowns in Latin America*, New York: Palgrave.
- Martínez Dalmau, Rubén (2008), El proceso constituyente boliviano (2006-2008) en el marco del nuevo constitucionalismo latinoamericano, La Paz: Enlace.

- MAS-IPSP (2009), Programa de Gobierno 2010-2015, Bolivia.
- Mujeres Creando (2007), Constitución política feminista del estado. El país imposible que miles de mujeres construimos todos los días, La Paz.
- Noguera, Albert (2008), Constitución, Plurinacionalidad y Pluralismo Jurídico en Bolivia. La Paz: Enlace.
- Noguera, Albert (2009), Las formas contemporáneas de la justicia constitucional: modelos y diferencias, Conference at the seminar on "Constitución y Democracia", Universidad Andina Simón Bolivar, La Paz, 29 October 2009.
- Nolte, Detlef, and Philipp Horn (2009), Verfassungspopulismus und Verfassungswandel in Lateinamerika, *GIGA Focus Lateinamerika*, 2, GIGA-Hamburg, online: <online: www.giga-hamburg.de/giga-focus/lateinamerika>.
- O'Donnell, Guillermo, Jorge Vargas Cullell, and Osvaldo Iazzetta (2004), *The Quality of Democracy. Theory and Applications*, Notre Dame: Notre Dame Press.
- Pacto de Unidad (May 2007), Propuesta consensuada del pacto de unidad. Constitución política del estado Boliviano, Bolivia.
- Rivera Cusicanqui, Silvia (2003), Oprimidos pero no vencidos. Luchas del campesinado Aymara y Qhechwa 1900-1980, La Paz: Aruwiyiri.
- Schmitter, Philippe (2000), *How to Democratize the European Union ... And Why Bother?* Lanham/Boulder/New York: Rowman & Littlefield Publ Inc.
- Sieder, Rachel (2002), Introduction, in: Sieder, Rachel (ed.) *Multiculturalism in Latin America*. *Indigenous Rights, Diversity and Democracy*, Great Britain: Palgrave, 1-23.
- Sousa Santos (ed.) (2005), Democratizing Demoracy. Beyond the Liberal Democratic Canon, London/New York: Verso.
- Sousa Santos (2009), Sociología jurídica crítica. Para un nuevo sentido común en el derecho. Madrid/Bogotá: Trotta/ILSA.
- Stroebele-Gregor, Juliana (1994), From Indio to Mestizo... to Indio: New Indianist Movements in Bolivia, in: *Latin American Perspectives*, 21, 2, 106-123.
- Stroebele-Gregor, Juliana (2008), Indigene Völker in der politischen Arena: Zum Verständnis von Politik und Demokratie bei indigenen Völkern in der Andenregion, in: *Lateinamerika Analysen*, 20, 125-140.
- Sunstein, Cass (2001), Designing Democracy. What Constitutions Do, Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Tapia, Luis (2006), *Gobierno Multicultural y Democracia Directa Nacional*. La Paz: Centro de estudios y análisis estratégicos de Bolivia.
- Tapia, Luis (2007), La igualdad es cogobierno. La Paz: Plural.

- UNASUR (November 2008), Informe de la comisión de UNASUR sobre los sucesos de Pando. Hacia un alba de justicia para Bolivia, UNASUR.
- Van Cott, Donna Lee (2000), *The Liquidation of the Past. The Politics of Diversity in Latin America*, USA: University of Pittsburgh Press.
- Van Cott, Donna Lee (2005), From Movements to Parties in Latin America. The Evolution of Ethnic Politics. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Van Cott, Donna Lee (2008), *Radical Democracy in the Andes*, Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Wolff, Jonas (2008), *Turbulente Stabilität. Die Demokratie in Südamerika diesseits ferner Ideale*, Baden-Baden: NOMOS.
- Zegada, María Teresa (2008), Una respuesta a la exclusión social de difícil aplicación, in: IDEA (ed.), Comentarios a la propuesta constitucional aprobada por la Asamblea Constituyente boliviana, La Paz: Plural, 7-24.

Websites

URL http://www.cne.org.bo (10 April 2010)

URL http://www.cscbbol.org (10 April 2010)

URL http://www.conamaq.org (10 April 2010)

URL http://www.conamaq.org.bo (7 March 2010)

URL http://www.cidob-bo.org (7 March 2010)

Interviews Cited

Ávalos, Isaac, Executive Secretary of CSUTCB, Sucre, July 2006.

Faldin, Nélida, Representative of MAS in the Constituent Assembly and representative of OICH (Organización Indígena de los Chiquitanos), Sucre, August 2007.

Flores, Samuel, Representative of Qhara Qhara Suyu, affiliated to CONAMAQ, August 2007.



Recent Issues

- No 140 Dirk Kohnert: Are the Chinese in Africa More Innovative than the Africans? Comparing Chinese and Nigerian Entrepreneurial Migrants' Cultures of Innovation, July 2010
- No 139 Sebastian Elischer: Measuring and Comparing Party Ideology in Nonindustrialized Societies: Taking Party Manifesto Research to Africa, June 2010
- No 138 Sandra Destradi: A Regional Power Promoting Democracy? India's Involvement in Nepal (2005–2008), June 2010
- No 137 Jann Lay: MDG Achievements, Determinants, and Resource Needs: What Has Been Learnt?, June 2010
- No 136 Karl Hwang: Measuring Geopolitical Power in India: A Review of the National Security Index (NSI), May 2010
- No 135 Leslie Wehner: From Rivalry to Mutual Trust: The Othering Process between Bolivia and Chile, May 2010
- No 134 Armando Barrientos and Daniel Neff: Attitudes to Chronic Poverty in the "Global Village", May 2010
- No 133 Hannes Meissner: The Resource Curse and Rentier States in the Caspian Region: A Need for Context Analysis, May 2010
- No 132 Miriam Shabafrouz: Fuel for Conflict or Balm for Peace? Assessing the Effects of Hydrocarbons on Peace Efforts in Algeria, April 2010
- No 131 Thomas Richter: When Do Autocracies Start to Liberalize Foreign Trade? Evidence from Four Cases in the Arab World, April 2010
- No 130 Alexander de Juan and Johannes Vüllers: Religious Peace Activism The Rational Element of Religious Elites' Decision-making Processes, April 2010
- No 129 Anika Moroff: Ethnic Party Bans in East Africa from a Comparative Perspective, April 2010
- No 128 Sören Scholvin: Emerging Non-OECD Countries: Global Shifts in Power and Geopolitical Regionalization, April 2010
- No 127 Heike Holbig and Bruce Gilley: In Search of Legitimacy in Post-revolutionary China: Bringing Ideology and Governance Back In, March 2010

All GIGA Working Papers are available free of charge at www.giga-hamburg.de/workingpapers. For any requests please contact: workingpapers@giga-hamburg.de. Working Papers Editor: Bert Hoffmann