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The U.S.-Vietnam Bilateral Trade Agreement (BTA) took effect on December 10, 2001, following an exchange of letters implementing the agreement by U.S. Trade Representative Robert B. Zoellick and Vietnamese Minister of Trade Vu Khoan. U.S. imports from Vietnam will now be subject to significantly lower duties under normal trade relations (NTR) status. U.S. imports from Vietnam are likely to increase substantially as NTR rates come into effect.

The U.S.-Vietnam Bilateral Trade Agreement (BTA) took effect on December 10, 2001, following an exchange of letters implementing the agreement by U.S. Trade R epresentative R obert B. Zoellick and Vietnamese Minister of Trade Vu Khoan. ${ }^{2}$ U.S. imports from Vietnam will now be subject to significantly lower duties under normal trade relations (NTR) ${ }^{3}$ status. The BTA was signed by the United States and Vietnam on July 13,2000 after nearly 5 years of negotiations. President Bush transmitted the agreement to Congress for approval on July 8, 2001. In that year, the House approved the agreement on September 6, the Senate approved it on October 3, and President Bush signed the legislation on $O$ ctober 8 . The $N$ ational A ssembly of Vietnam approved the resolution ratifying the agreement on November 28, and the President of Vietnam signed the legislation on December 4, 2001. U.S. imports from Vietnam are likely to increase substantially as NTR rates come into effect. Imports from Vietnam have been limited by the generally much higher column 2 duties that apply to nonmarket economies that have not met certain criteria set out in U.S. statutes. Since the United States resumed trading with Vietnam in 1994, imports from Vietnam have consisted mostly of items having free or very low column 2 duties and a few footwear and apparel items.

## Background ${ }^{4}$

Following the end of the Vietnam war in 1975, Vietnam was subject to a trade embargo by the U nited

[^0]States until President Clinton ended the embargo in February 1994. Since then, Vietnam has been denied NTR status as a nonmarket economy (NME) and has therefore been subject to column 2 duties under the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTS). Column 2 duty rates are, in general, the full rates that were established by the Tariff Act of 1930 (popularly known as the Hawley-Smoot Act) and in most cases are much higher than the column 1 (NTR) rates. ${ }^{5}$

President Clinton granted Vietnam a waiver in M arch 1998 under the Jackson-Vanik amendment of the Trade Act of 1974, a waiver that has been extended annually since then by Presidents Clinton and Bush. The immediate effect of the waiver was that U.S. businesses trading with or operating in Vietnam could take advantage of programs of the U.S. Overseas Private Investment Corporation (OPIC) and the Export-Import Bank (Ex-Im Bank). For a designated NME to obtain NTR status, it must pass muster under the J ackson-Vanik amendment. The President must either determine that the country is not in violation of the emigration

[^1] Act).
criteria of the amendment or waive the requirement of full compliance with the criteria. ${ }^{6}$ If the President finds compliance or grants a waiver, the country can conclude a BTA with United States, which is a requirement for an NME to obtain NTR status; and the country can obtain access to U.S. government financial facilities such as OPIC and the Ex-Im Bank to support U.S. business activity in the country.

The agreement provides for mutual extension of nondiscriminatory tariff treatment (that is, NTR, also called M FN, status). ${ }^{7}$ The agreement also facilitates and expands the rights that U.S. business will have in conducting commercial transactions both within Vietnam and with Vietnamese nationals and business entities, and includes provisions dealing with settlement of commercial disputes, investment, financial transactions, and the establishment of government commercial offices. Vietnam also agreed to adopt standards for intellectual property protection that match the standards set forth in the WTO A greement on Trade-Related A spects of Intellectual Property Rights. ${ }^{8}$

## Prospects for Increased U.S. Imports from Vietnam

Imports from Vietnam make up a very small portion of total U.S. imports. In 2000, the United States imported $\$ 827.4$ million dollars worth of goods from Vietnam, less than one-tenth of one percent ( 0.07 percent) of total U.S. imports. The small size of the Vietnamese economy relative to the U.S. economy and the

[^2]lack of long-term commercial relations between the countries partially account for this small portion, but lack of NTR status has certainly impeded expansion of these imports.

Since the end of the U.S. embargo on trade with Vietnam, U.S. imports from Vietnam have consisted mostly of items having free or very low column 2 duties and a few footwear and apparel items as shown in table 1. The top four items-two shrimp items, coffee, and cashew nuts-accounted for 48.3 percent of the total value of U.S. imports from V ietnam in 2000. The shrimp items and coffee enter free of duty under column 2, and the column 2 (specific) duty on cashew nuts amounted to 0.9 percent ad valorem equivalent in 2000.

The product areas having the biggest potential for increased Vietnamese exports to the United States are apparel and footwear. The potential for increases in apparel exports is especially large, with estimates by World Bank economists of over 1500 percent ( $\$ 384$ million). ${ }^{9}$ V ietnamese exports of apparel and footwear to Europe and J apan, where Vietnam enjoys M FN status, are quite extensive. ${ }^{10}$ A look at the differences between U.S. column 1 and column 2 duty rates on major U.S. apparel import items illustrates the large duty savings from having NTR status.

Table 2 shows imports of the leading U.S. apparel items in 2000, along with their respective column 1 and column 2 duty rates. The difference between the column 1 and column 2 duty rates ranges from about 25 percentage points to over 80 percentage points. Interestingly the 2 items in table 2 with the lowest difference in duty rates-men's or boys' cotton shirtsare 2 of the top 3 apparel items imported from Vietnam (the third is cotton sweaters).

[^3]Table 1
Leading U.s. imports from Vietnam, column 1 and column 2 duty rates, 2000

| HTS number | Description | Customs value | Col. 1 duty rate | Col. 2 duty rate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 1,000 dollars | Percent |  |
| 0306.13.00 | Shrimps and prawns, cooked in shell or uncooked, dried, salted or in brine, frozen | 181,665 | 0 | 0 |
| 0901.11.00 | Coffee, not roasted, not decaffeinated .............................................. | 110,828 | 0 | 0 |
| 1605.20.10 | Shrimps and prawns, prepared or preserved, not containing fish meat, nesi | 53,884 | 0 | 0 |
| 0801.32.00 | Cashew nuts, fresh or dried, shelled | 50,306 | 0 | *0.9 |
| 6402.99 .90 | Footwear w/outer soles \& uppers of rubber or plastics, nesi, $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{cov}$. ankle, nesi, valued over \$12/pair | 34,743 | 20.0 | 35.0 |
| 6404.11.90 | Sports \& athletic footwear w/outer soles of rubber/plastics \& uppers of textile, valued o/\$12/pair | 31,378 | 20.0 | 35.0 |
| 0304.20.60 | Frozen fillets of fresh-water fish, flat fish, etc., nesi . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 30,152 | 0 | *1.6 |
| 6403.99.60 | Footwear w/outer soles of rubber/plastics/comp. leather \& uppers of leather, $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{cov}$. ankle, $\mathrm{n} /$ welt, for men, youths and boys, nesi | 28,826 | 8.5 | 20.0 |
| 6403.99 .90 | Footwear w/outer soles of rubber/plastics/comp. leather \& uppers of leather, $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{cov}$. ankle, for women/child./infants, val. over \$2.50/pair | 22,213 | 10.0 | 20.0 |
| 2713.11.00 | Coke, petroleum, not calcined . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 20,114 | 0 | 0 |
| 2710.00.05 | Distillate and residual fuel oils (including blends) derived from bituminous minerals, testing under 25 degrees A.P.I. | 19,125 | *0.2 | *0.7 |
| 2709.00.20 | Petroleum oils and oils from bituminous minerals, crude, testing 25 degrees A.P.I. or more | 17,479 | *0.3 | *0.6 |
| 0904.11.00 | Pepper of the genus Piper, neither crushed nor ground ............................ | 17,328 | 0 | 0 |
| 2710.00.10 | Distillate and residual fuel oils (including blends) derived from bituminous minerals, testing 25 degrees A.P.I. or more | 13,916 | *0.4 | *0.7 |
| 6205.20 .20 | Men's or boys' shirts, not knitted or crocheted, of cotton, nesi . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 13,187 | 20.1 | 45.0 |
| 9706.00.00 | Antiques of an age exceeding one hundred years . ................................. | 12,198 | 0 | 0 |
| 2711.29 .00 | Petroleum gases and other gaseous hydrocarbons, except natural gas ............... | 9,342 | 0 | 0 |
| 0302.32.00 | Yellowfin tunas, fresh or chilled, excluding fillets, other meat portions, livers and roes .... | 8,954 | 0 | 0 |
| 2711.12.00 | Propane, liquefied . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 8,441 | 0 | 0 |
| 9403.60.80 | Furniture (o/than seats \& o/than of 9402) of wooden (o/than bentwood) nesi . . . . . . . . . . . | 6,385 | 0 | 40.0 |
|  | Subtotal | 690,465 |  |  |
|  | Total . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 821,619 |  |  |

[^4]Source: Compiled from official statistics of the U.S. Department of Commerce.

Table 2
Leading U.S. imports of apparel items, column 1 and column 2 duty rates, 2000

| HTS number | Description | Customs value | Col. 1 duty rate | Col. 2 duty rate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 1,000 dollars | Percent |  |
| 6110.20.20 | Sweaters, pullovers and similar articles, knitted or crocheted, of cotton, nesi | 5,384,261 | 17.8 | 50.0 |
| 6203.42.40 | Men's or boys' trousers and shorts, not bibs, not knitted or crocheted, of cotton, not containing $15 \%$ or more by weight of down, etc | 4,806,133 | 16.9 | 90.0 |
| 6204.62 .40 | Women's or girls' trousers, breeches and shorts, not knitted or crocheted, of cotton, nesi . . . . . | 4,352,444 | 16.9 | 90.0 |
| 6110.30.30 | Sweaters, pullovers and similar articles, knitted or crocheted, of manmade fibers, nesi | 3,068,413 | 32.7 | 90.0 |
| 6109.10.00 | T-shirts, singlets, tank tops and similar garments, knitted or crocheted, of cotton | 2,988,600 | 17.8 | 90.0 |
| 6205.20.20 | Men's or boys' shirts, not knitted or crocheted, of cotton, nesi | 2,412,837 | 20.1 | 45.0 |
| 6105.10.00 | Men's or boys' shirts, knitted or crocheted, of cotton | 1,521,518 | 20.1 | 45.0 |
| 6206.30.30 | Women's or girls' blouses and shirts, not knitted or crocheted, of cotton, nesi | 1,193,502 | 15.7 | 90.0 |
| 6212.10.90 | Brassieres, not containing lace, net or embroidery, containing under 70\% by wt of silk or silk waste, whether or not knitted or crocheted | 1,091,823 | 17.2 | 75.0 |
| 6203.43.40 | Men's or boys' trousers, breeches \& shorts, of synthetic fibers, con under 15\% wt down etc, cont under 36\% wt wool, n/water resist, not k/c | 982,369 | 28.4 | 90.0 |
| 6111.20.60 | Babies' garments and clothing accessories, knitted or crocheted, of cotton, nesi | 869,466 | 8.2 | 90.0 |
| 6204.63.35 | Women's or girls' trousers, breeches and shorts, not knitted or crocheted, of synthetic fibers, nesi | 851,040 | 29.1 | 90.0 |
| 6201.93.30 | Men's or boys' anoraks, windbreakers and similar articles, not knitted or crocheted, of manmade fibers, nesi, water resistant | 819,910 | 7.2 | 65.0 |
| 6206.40.30 | Women's or girls' blouses and shirts, not knitted or crocheted, of manmade fibers, nesi . . | 773,729 | 27.4 | 90.0 |
| 6110.10.20 | Sweaters, pullovers, waistcoats (vests) and similar articles, knitted or crocheted, of wool or fine animal hair (excl. wholly of cashmere) | 740,909 | 16.3 | 54.5 |

[^5]
[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ The views expressed in this article are those of the author. They are not the views of the U.S. International Trade Commission (USITC) as a whole or of any individual Commissioner.
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