

DEPLOYING IGCC
IN THIS DECADE
WITH 3PARTY COVENANT
FINANCING
VOLUME I

William G. Rosenberg, Dwight C. Alpern,
Michael R. Walker

Energy Technology Innovation Project
a joint project of the
Science, Technology and Public Policy Program
and the
Environment and Natural Resources Program
Belfer Center for Science and International Affairs

2004 - 07
JULY 2004

CITATION INFORMATION

This paper may be cited as: Rosenberg, William G., Dwight C. Alpern and Michael R. Walker. "Deploying IGCC Technology in this Decade with 3 Party Covenant Financing: Volume I." ENRP Discussion Paper, Discussion Paper 2004-07. Cambridge, MA: Belfer Center for Science and International Affairs, Kennedy School of Government, Harvard University, July 2004. Comments are welcome and may be directed to William Rosenberg; e-mail William_Rosenberg@KSG.Harvard.edu. Electronic copies of this report are available at: www.ksg.harvard.edu/bcsia/enrp

PROJECT SPONSORS

Department of Energy, National Energy Technology Laboratory
Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Air and Radiation
National Commission on Energy Policy
John F. Kennedy School of Government
Center for Clean Air Policy
Hogan & Hartson LLC

THIS PAPER IS AN INDEPENDENT WORK BY THE AUTHORS.
THE VIEWS EXPRESSED ARE NOT NECESSARILY THOSE OF THE SPONSORS OR
THE KENNEDY SCHOOL OF GOVERNMENT.

AUTHORS

William G. Rosenberg

79 John F. Kennedy Street
Center for Business & Government
Belfer Center for Science & International Affairs
Kennedy School of Government, Harvard University
919.601.0563
wrosenberg@e3ventures.com

Dwight C. Alpern

Research Director
Legal & Regulatory Issues
202.343.9151
Alpern.Dwight@epa.gov

Michael R. Walker

Research Director
Economic & Technical Issues
720.842.5345
mwalker@e3ventures.com

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors of this report would like to offer the deepest appreciation to all of the extraordinary people and organizations that provided support, assistance, and advice. The project would not have been possible without the counsel of many experts, or the generous time and resource contributions of a number of forward thinking people and organizations.

First and foremost, our deepest appreciation to the organizations that sponsored and supported the effort, including the Department of Energy, National Energy Technology Laboratory; Environmental Protection Agency, Clean Air Markets Division; National Commission on Energy Policy; John F. Kennedy School of Government; Center for Clean Air Policy; and Hogan & Hartson law firm. Without the assistance from these groups, the project would never have gotten off the ground or made its way to completion.

Thank you to all of our friends and colleagues at the John F. Kennedy School of Government. Our deepest appreciation to Professor Henry Lee, Jaidah Family Director, Environment and Natural Resources Program for his tireless assistance in steering the project and ensuring an organized and thorough peer review. Thank you to Professor John Holdren, Teresa and John Heinz Professor of Environmental Policy, Director, Science, Technology and Public Policy Program for his encouragement, advice, and introductions. Thank you also to Dr. Kelly Sims Gallagher, Director, Energy Technology Innovation Project who was an important, helpful resource for the project and to Professor Roger Porter, IBM Professor of Business and Government, Center for Business and Government for his counsel. We would also like to thank the many other people at the Kennedy School that worked with and supported the project, including Elizabeth Bulette, Dawn Hilali, Jason Jennaro, Jo-Ann Mahoney, Swaminathan Narasimhan, John Neffinger, Ann Stewart, and Amanda Swanson.

Special thanks also to Robert Williams, Senior Research Scientist, Princeton University for sharing his expertise and advice and for assisting with several critical and difficult technical aspects of the report. We are also grateful for the encouragement and advice of David Hadley, Commissioner, Indiana Utility Regulatory Commission, Kim Wissman, Deputy Director, Utilities Department, Public Utilities Commission of Ohio, David Hawkins, Program Director, Climate Center, Natural Resources Defense Counsel and Jeff Cohen, White House Taskforce on Energy Project Streamlining.

The project would also like to offer special thanks to Mildred Perry and Gary Stiegel of the National Energy Technology Lab for their generous support and advice from the outset of the project, as well as Massood Ramazaan of SAIC for helping the project find its way. Thanks also to Jim Childress, Gasification Technology Counsel, for sharing his expertise and for connecting the project with the many knowledgeable people in the gasification industry. Thank you to Darlene Radcliffe and her colleagues at Cinergy for sharing their knowledge, to Patrick Raher, Senior Environmental Partner, Hogan & Hartson for his expertise and assistance with many complex legal and political matters, and to Don Elliott, Adjunct Professor of Law, Yale Law School for his considered advice.

Finally, thanks to all who attended the February 11, 2004 workshop to discuss the draft report and offer comments and suggestions. Although the arguments and ideas contained in this work are strictly those of the authors and do not necessarily represent the views of the sponsors or any of the other experts consulted along the way, the authors are well aware that without all of the advice, guidance, and support, successful completion would not have been feasible. Thank you to our families who put up with extended work hours and everyone that helped this project along as it endeavored to make a contribution in a very important national energy and environmental policy arena.

FOREWORD

These two volumes emanate from fourteen months of research, discussion and countless drafts. The three authors, William Rosenberg, Dwight Alpern, and Michael Walker, conducted meetings with key players, including officials from both the federal and state government, representatives of the power, engineering, coal and chemical industries, environmental groups and academic experts. We are especially grateful for the cooperation of the Carbon Mitigation Initiative at Princeton University and two of its leaders, Robert Socolow and Robert Williams, and for the continuing advice from the MIT Laboratory for Energy and the Environment.

Both of these volumes have been extensively peer reviewed by a team of experts, including faculty at Harvard, Yale, and Princeton. The authors have consulted with officials from the Electric Power Research Institute (EPRI), Center for Clean Air Policy (CCAP), and the National Association of Regulatory Utility Commissioners (NARUC). The authors also benefited from a workshop held at the John F. Kennedy School in February, 2004. Over eighty experts from across the country participated in a discussion on opportunities to overcome the financial and political challenges confronting the deployment and commercialization of Integrated Gasification Combined Cycle technologies (IGCC), (see the ENRP rapporteur's report: "Workshop on Integrated Gasification Combined Cycle: Financing and Deploying IGCC Technologies in this Decade," #2004-06).

These reports are part of a three-year program in the Kennedy School's Energy Technology Innovation Project (ETIP), a joint effort of the Environment and Natural Resources Program (ENRP) and the Science, Technology and Public Policy Program (STPP). ETIP has fostered extensive work on the obstacles and opportunities for development and utilization of IGCC technologies in China and India, as well as in the United States.

These efforts are stimulated by three policy imperatives: the need to increase the use of indigenous coal supplies and to meet a growing demand for electricity; the need to clean up our air, and reduce the threat of global climate change; and the need to address the nation's energy security. These reports provide a blueprint of how the United States might take the initial steps to commercially deploy IGCC technology to significantly improve our air, economy, and national interest.

We are very grateful for the support of the National Commission on Energy Policy, the Department of Energy, the Environmental Protection Agency, the Hewlett Foundation, the Packard Foundation, the Roy Family Fund, and the hundreds of experts who have generously given the authors the benefit of their advice and counsel.

John Holdren and Henry Lee
Co-chairs, Energy Technology and Innovation Project

REPORT ORGANIZATION

The paper is divided into two volumes. Volume I describes IGCC technology, why it is an important advanced clean coal technology for generating electricity, the hurdles to near-term deployment, the 3Party Covenant financing and regulatory program to stimulate near-term IGCC deployment, and how the 3Party Covenant improves the economics of IGCC technology to make it competitive. Appendix A of Volume I outlines the components of federal legislation that are needed to implement the 3Party Covenant.

Volume II provides a detailed legal analysis of the federal and state authorities and regulatory mechanisms for implementing the 3Party Covenant, including a review of traditional electric utility regulatory systems, the current regulatory systems in 5 specific states, and a model regulatory mechanism for review and approval of IGCC project costs under the 3Party Covenant.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

VOLUME I

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	1
ES-1. INTEGRATED GASIFICATION COMBINED CYCLE GENERATION.....	1
ES-2. WHY IGCC.....	2
ES-3. IGCC DEPLOYMENT	6
ES-4. 3PARTY COVENANT FINANCING AND REGULATORY PROGRAM.....	8
ES-5. IMPLEMENTATION.....	18
ES-6. COMPONENTS OF FEDERAL LEGISLATION FOR IMPLEMENTING 3PARTY COVENANT	19
1.0. WHY IGCC	22
1.1. ENERGY INDEPENDENCE AND SECURITY	22
1.2. ECONOMIC GROWTH.....	23
1.3. NATURAL GAS PRICES.....	24
1.4. AIR POLLUTANT EMISSIONS	27
1.41. <i>SO₂ Emissions</i>	29
1.42. <i>NO_x Emissions</i>	29
1.43. <i>Particulate Emissions</i>	30
1.44. <i>Mercury Emissions</i>	31
1.5. CLIMATE CHANGE	32
1.6. WATER USE AND SOLID WASTE BYPRODUCTS	35
2.0. IGCC TECHNOLOGY AND OPERATING EXPERIENCE	36
2.1. MAJOR COMPONENTS OF IGCC POWER PLANTS	39
2.11. <i>Coal Handling Equipment</i>	39
2.12. <i>Gasifier</i>	39
2.13. <i>Syngas Cooling</i>	41
2.14. <i>Syngas Clean-up</i>	42
2.15. <i>Combined Cycle Power Block</i>	42
2.16. <i>Balance of IGCC Plant</i>	43
2.2. OPERATING IGCC FACILITIES USED FOR COMMERCIAL ELECTRICITY PRODUCTION	44
2.21. <i>Wabash Power Station, Terre Haute, Indiana</i>	44
2.22. <i>Polk Power Station, Polk County, Florida</i>	45
2.23. <i>Willem Alexander IGCC Plant, Buggenum, The Netherlands</i>	45
2.24. <i>Puertollano IGCC Plant, Puertollano, Spain</i>	46
2.25. <i>Negishi IGCC Plant, Negishi, Yokohama Japan</i>	46
3.0. IGCC DEPLOYMENT	48
3.1. SUPPORT FOR IGCC.....	48
3.2. NEED FOR BASE LOAD CAPACITY.....	49
3.3. COAL POWER DEVELOPMENT	50
3.4. NGCC RE-FUELING OPPORTUNITY	51
3.5. IGCC DEPLOYMENT HURDLES	52
4.0. 3PARTY COVENANT FINANCING AND REGULATORY PROGRAM	54
4.1. KEY ELEMENTS OF 3PARTY COVENANT	54

4.2. ROLES AND PERSPECTIVES OF THREE PARTIES	55
4.21. <i>Federal Government</i>	55
4.22. <i>States</i>	56
4.23. <i>Equity Investor</i>	58
4.3. RATEPAYER BENEFITS AND PROTECTION	58
4.31. <i>EPC Contract</i>	59
4.32. <i>Construction and Operating Reserve Fund</i>	60
4.33. <i>Line of Credit</i>	61
4.34. <i>State PUC Prudence Review</i>	61
4.4. FEDERAL BUDGET SCORING	62
4.5. STATE ADOPTION AND STATE PUC PARTICIPATION	65
5.0. IGCC ECONOMICS AND IMPACT OF 3PARTY COVENANT	68
5.1. POWER PLANT COST COMPONENTS	68
5.11. <i>Total Plant Investment</i>	68
5.12. <i>Overnight Capital Costs</i>	68
5.13. <i>Owner's Costs</i>	69
5.14. <i>Construction Financing</i>	69
5.15. <i>Cost of Capital</i>	70
5.16. <i>Operating costs</i>	70
5.17. <i>Levelized Carrying Charge</i>	71
5.2. PUBLISHED IGCC CAPITAL COST AND EFFICIENCY ESTIMATES	72
5.21. <i>Impact of Coal Rank on Capital Cost and Efficiency</i>	74
5.22. <i>Gasifier Redundancy</i>	75
5.23. <i>Repowering and Refueling</i>	76
5.24. <i>Planning for CO₂ Capture</i>	76
5.3. COST ESTIMATES FROM TECHNOLOGY SUPPLIERS	77
5.31. <i>GE Energy</i>	77
5.4. REFERENCE CASES	78
5.5. 3PARTY COVENANT COST OF ENERGY IMPACT	82
5.6. 3PARTY COVENANT COST OF ENERGY FOR NGCC REFUELING SCENARIOS	86
APPENDIX A. COMPONENTS OF FEDERAL LEGISLATION FOR IMPLEMENTING 3PARTY COVENANT	88
APPENDIX B: LEVELIZED CARRYING CHARGE CALCULATIONS	91
 VOLUME II	
 6.0. INTRODUCTION	96
 7.0. TRADITIONAL ELECTRIC INDUSTRY REGULATORY SYSTEM AND EFFECT ON ALLOCATION OF INVESTMENT RISK OF NEW IGCC PLANTS.	98
7.1. DESCRIPTION OF TRADITIONAL ELECTRIC INDUSTRY REGULATORY SYSTEM.	98
7.11. <i>Treatment of Companies as Natural Monopolies</i>	98
7.12. <i>Just and reasonable rates.</i>	102
7.13. <i>Cost-based ratemaking.</i>	103

7.2. EFFECT ON ALLOCATION OF ELECTRICITY GENERATION INVESTMENT RISK.	109
7.21. <i>Construction and operating risk.</i>	110
7.22. <i>Market risk.</i>	113
8.0. CURRENT ELECTRIC INDUSTRY REGULATORY SYSTEM IN SPECIFIC STATES.	116
8.1. STATES WITH A MORE TRADITIONAL ELECTRIC INDUSTRY REGULATORY SYSTEM.	117
8.11. <i>Indiana.</i>	117
8.12. <i>Kentucky.</i>	129
8.13. <i>New Mexico.</i>	134
8.2. STATES WITH COMPETITIVE RETAIL ELECTRICITY GENERATION AND SALES.	144
8.21. <i>Ohio.</i>	144
8.22. <i>Texas.</i>	154
8.3. EFFECT ON ALLOCATION OF ELECTRICITY GENERATION INVESTMENT RISK.	165
9.0. MODEL REGULATORY MECHANISM FOR REVIEW, APPROVAL, AND RECOVERY OF IGCC PROJECT COSTS.	168
9.1. PROJECT SCENARIOS FOR FINANCING, OWNERSHIP, AND OPERATION OF NEW IGCC PLANTS.	168
9.2. MODEL STATE PUC REGULATORY MECHANISM FOR REVIEW, APPROVAL, AND RECOVERY OF COSTS.	172
9.3. IMPOSITION OF APPROVED IGCC ADJUSTMENT-CLAUSE CHARGES UNDER MODEL STATE PUC REGULATORY MECHANISM.	179
9.4. STATE STATUTORY CHANGES NECESSARY FOR USE OF MODEL STATE PUC REGULATORY MECHANISM.	181
9.5. FERC JURISDICTION OVER REVIEW, APPROVAL, AND RECOVERY OF IGCC PROJECT COSTS.	185
9.51. <i>Market-based rates.</i>	185
9.52. <i>Cost-based rates.</i>	194